

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,128	04/09/2004	Jun E. Lee	0942.5690001/RWE/JKM	8246	
26111	26111 7590 · 10/16/2006			EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			SISSON, BR	SISSON, BRADLEY L	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/821,128	LEE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Bradley L. Sisson	1634		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-71 is/are pending in the aday of the above claim(s) 32-54 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16,18-31 and 55-71 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or con Papers	n from consideration.			
	•	r			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/4/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/821,128 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-31 and 55-71, in the reply filed on 30 August 2006 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 32-54 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 30 August 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Application/Control Number: 10/821,128

Art Unit: 1634

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

6. Claims 1-16, 18-31, and 55-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,222,027 B1 (Kaser et al.) in view of US Patent Application Publication US 2003/0013182 A1 (Yaver et al.) and US Patent 5,986,086 (Brush et al.).

Yaver et al., paragraph [0243], teaches of producing cDNA probes from mRNA where aminoallyl-dUTP is incorporated into the probe.

- 7. Yaver et al., also teach the incorporation of Cy3 and Cy5 labels into the probe, and not the use of a Cy3- or Cy5-labeled nucleotide in the production/labeling of a nucleic acid.
- 8. The production of labeled cDNA probes speaks to the presence of a composition that comprises modified nucleotides, template (mRNA, a form of RNA), and a detectable label, which is a form of a fluorescent label.
- 9. Kaser et al., column 17, teaches the production of probes using a reverse transcriptase and modified and unmodified nucleotides. As disclosed therein, the probe is labeled with Cy3-dCTP or Cy5-dCTP. Such a showing speaks to the presence of a modified nucleotide in the composition.
- 10. Brush et al., column 6, teach of the commercial availability of Cy5-dATP as well as the use of animohexyl-dATP, and that they each perform equally well.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,128 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the modified (Cy3- and Cy5-labeled) nucleotides of Kaser et al. with the composition of Yaver et al., as such would have allowed for the simultaneous incorporation of multiple modified nucleotides in the resultant labeled nucleic acid and thereby eliminating a subsequent step needed to perform the labeling as disclosed by Yaver.

- 12. It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the aminohexyl-dATP of Brush et al., in the above composition as Brush et al., teach of its being equally good as other art-recognized dyes, e.g., Cy5-dATP.
- 13. In view of the combined teachings, the ordinary artisan would have been amply motivated to have combined the above composition into a kit as such would have greatly facilitated the ready production of probes and the labeling of same.
- 14. For the above reasons, and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, claims 1-16, 18-31, and 55-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,222,027 B1 (Kaser et al.) in view of US Patent Application Publication US 2003/0013182 A1 (Yaver et al.) and US Patent 5,986,086 (Brush et al.).

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0751. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,128 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634

B. J. Sison

BLS